

3. On January 13, 2014, the Receiver filed a Motion for Leave to Respond to Objections [Dkt. No. 308].
4. On January 23, 2014, the Magistrate Judge entered an Order granting the Receiver leave to file a response [Dkt. No. 311].
5. On January 27, 2014 the Receiver, Keith Aurzada, filed a Response to Objections to Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge [Dkt. No. 314].
6. In its Response [Dkt. No. 314], the Receiver raises issues regarding the status of the Investor's state court lawsuit against Halo Companies, Inc. The Receiver's representations about the status of the state court action are not full or completely accurate.
7. Additionally, in its Response, the Receiver included other new facts which the Investors believe are inaccurate, or are at least misleading.
8. The Investors respectfully request leave of Court to file a Reply to the Receiver's Response [Dkt. No. 314], not to exceed three pages to correct the record and to address the new facts and arguments presented in the objections to the Report and Recommendation.

DATED: February 4, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David W. Lunn

David W. Lunn

State Bar No. *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

NUSSBAUM GILLIS & DINNER, P.C.

14850 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 450

Scottsdale, AZ 85254

(p) (480) 609-0011

(f) (480) 609-0016

dlunn@ngdlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served per Local Rule 5.1(d) with a copy of the foregoing via the Court's CM/ECF system on this 4th day of February, 2014.

/s/ David W. Lunn

David W. Lunn